

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	OR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
9/000,366	01/28/98	HOASHI	М	HOASHI=2
_			–	EXAMINER
01444		IM22/0331		
ROWDY AND N	EIMARK, P.L	L.C.,	<u>BECK</u>	ER,D
24 NINTH ST	REET, NW		ART	UNIT PAPER NUMBER
UITE 300	•		· · · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
ASHINGTON D	C 20001-530	03	1761	l
			DATE M	AILED:
		·		03/31/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/000,366

Applicant(s)

Hoashi et al

Examiner

Drew Becker

Group Art Unit 1761



TH	E PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]			
•••	a) 🗍	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
	p) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
	date on	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).			
Ap but	plicant is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 15, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
X	The proposed amendment(s):				
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.				
		Il not be entered because:			
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).			
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).			
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
	NO	TE: <u>The specific types of additives were never claimed before. Also, the reference to JP 3-41145B in claim 7 is improper would require a 112 (2nd) rejection.</u>			
	□ A ₁	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	Newl separ	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.			
		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because:			
		iffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.			
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):			
	Claim	s allowed: s objected to: s rejected: 1 and 3-12			
	The p	proposed drawing correction filed on has been approved by the Examiner.			
	Note	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).			
X	Other	Regardless, Katoh et al teach seasonings (col. 7, line 5) and a pin mixer (Figure 2).			
		KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER			